

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/499,922	02/08/2000	Stephen Ledsham	00P7447ÚS	. 1898	
75	90 03/16/2005		EXAMINER		
Siemens Corp	oration	HSU, ALPUS			
Intellectual Prop 186 Wood Aver	perty Department nue South	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Iselin, NJ 088	30		2665		
			DATE MAILED: 03/16/2005	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/499,9	22	LEDSHAM ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examine	,	Art Unit				
		Alpus H. I	Hsu	2665	·			
Period for	 The MAILING DATE of this communication Reply 	appears on the	e cover sheet with t	he correspondence ad	dress			
THE N - Extens after S - If the p - If NO - Failure Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION Sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI (SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication beeriod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by steply received by the Office later than three months after the model patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. R 1.136(a). In no ev i. a reply within the stateriod will apply and w tatute, cause the app	ent, however, may a reply utory minimum of thirty (30 ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS lication to become ABAND	be timely filed) days will be considered timely from the mailing date of this co	, mmunication.			
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>2</u>	7 December 2	<u>004</u> .					
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ ¹	This action is r	on-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositio	on of Claims				•			
5)⊠ (6)⊠ (7)⊠ (Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) 21 is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-5 and 10-19 is/are rejected. Claim(s) 6-9 and 20 is/are objected to.							
Application	on Papers							
10)∏ T	The specification is objected to by the Exame The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) = a Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corumn to the coath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) the drawing(s) t rrection is requir	oe held in abeyance. ed if the drawing(s) i	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s objected to. See 37 CF	• •			
	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)[A a)[_ ;	acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Buree the attached detailed Office action for a	ents have bee ents have bee priority docume reau (PCT Rul	n received. n received in Appli ents have been rec e 17.2(a)).	cation No eived in this National \$	Stage			
					-			
Attachment(s) of References Cited (PTO-892)		4)	(DTO 442)				
2) 🗌 Notice 3) 🛛 Inform	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB. No(s)/Mail Date 12/27/04.			nary (PTO-413) ail Date nal Patent Application (PTO	-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/499,922

Page 2

Art Unit: 2665

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after allowance or after an Office action under *Ex Parte Quayle*, 25 USPQ 74, 453 O.G. 213 (Comm'r Pat. 1935). Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, prosecution in this application has been reopened pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 27 December 2004 has been entered.

- 2. The indicated allowability of claims 1-5, 10-19 is withdrawn in view of the newly discovered reference(s) to Scholl et al. in U.S. Patent No. 5,742,762 (newly cited) in view of Sayers et al. in U.S. Patent No. 6,539,237 (of record). Rejections based on the newly cited reference(s) follow.
- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-5, 10-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Scholl et al. in U.S. Patent No. 5,742,762 (newly cited) in view of Sayers et al. in U.S. Patent No. 6,539,237 (of record).

Referring to claim 1, Scholl et al. discloses a system for providing management protocol mediation between networks, comprising: a first network (MANAGED NETWORK 1); a second network (MANAGED NETWORK 2), having an operations and maintenance center (OMC) (11 and 14) coupled thereto and operable to execute an application to manage the first network using a first management protocol, the OMC further operable to manage the second network using a

Page 3

Art Unit: 2665

second management protocol; and a protocol mediator (15 and 16) coupled to the first and second networks, the protocol mediator operable to translate between the first management protocol and the second management protocol.

Scholl et al. differ from claim 1, in that it fails to disclose both networks being wireless networks, providing the first wireless network operable to communicate with a mobile station over a wireless interface. But Scholl does disclose "the examples of the managed networks include SNMP-based network, CMIP-based network, -----, and cellular telephony system" (see column 6, lines 25-29) and "the access mode maybe WAN, LAN, dial-up, wireless, or others (column 7, lines 12-13).

The application of managed networks being wireless networks, providing the first wireless network operable to communicate with a mobile station over a wireless interface is well known in the art. Sayers et al., for example, from the similar field of endeavor, teaches the managed networks being wireless networks (14 and 15 in Figure 1), providing the first wireless network operable to communicate with a mobile station (4 in Figure 1) over a wireless interface (not shown).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to adopt the wireless communication feature in Sayers et al. into the system of Scholl et al. to provide the system with wireless communication capability to further improve the system speed and efficiency.

Referring to claims 2 and 3, Scholl et al. disclose the first and second management protocols comprises a SNMP and CMIP management protocols (see col. 6, lines 25-26, col. 7, lines 8-9).

Referring to claim 4, Scholl et al. discloses the protocol mediator coupled to the OMC by a first Telecommunication Network Management Interface (the connection between blocks 11 and 15 in Figure 1), and coupled to the first network by a second Telecommunication Network Management Interface (7 in Figure 3).

Referring to claim 5, Sayers et al. discloses that the first wireless network comprises: a base station operable to communicate with the mobile station over the wireless interface; and a wireless adjunct internet platform (WARP) (16 in Figure 1) coupled to the base station (12 in Figure 1) and operable to communicate with the mobile station (4 in Figure 1) through the base station, which would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to adopt this wireless communication feature in Sayers et al. into the system of Scholl et al. to provide the system with wireless communication capability to further improve the system speed and efficiency.

Referring to claim 10, Scholl et al. discloses a management center for providing management protocol mediation between networks, comprising: an operations and maintenance center (OMC) (11 and 14) operable to manage a first and second networks, the OMC further operable to manage the first network by executing an application using a first management protocol, and to manage the second network using a second management protocol; and a protocol mediator (15 and 16) coupled to the first and second networks, the protocol mediator operable to translate between the first management protocol and the second management protocol.

Scholl et al. differ from claim 10, in that it fails to disclose both networks being wireless networks, providing the first wireless network operable to communicate with a mobile station over a wireless interface. But Scholl does disclose "the examples of the managed networks

include SNMP-based network, CMIP-based network, -----, and cellular telephony system" (see column 6, lines 25-29) and "the access mode maybe WAN, LAN, dial-up, wireless, or others (column 7, lines 12-13).

The application of managed networks being wireless networks, providing the first wireless network operable to communicate with a mobile station over a wireless interface is well known in the art. Sayers et al., for example, from the similar field of endeavor, teaches the managed networks being wireless networks (14 and 15 in Figure 1), providing the first wireless network operable to communicate with a mobile station (4 in Figure 1) over a wireless interface (not shown).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to adopt the wireless communication feature in Sayers et al. into the system of Scholl et al. to provide the system with wireless communication capability to further improve the system speed and efficiency.

Referring to claims 11 and 12, Scholl et al. disclose the first and second management protocols comprises a SNMP and CMIP management protocols (see col. 6, lines 25-26, col. 7, lines 8-9).

Referring to claim 13, Scholl et al. discloses the protocol mediator coupled to the OMC by a Common Telecommunication Network Management Interface (the connection between blocks 11 and 15 in Figure 1).

Referring to claims 14 and 15, Scholl et al. discloses a router (5 in Figure 1) coupled to the OMC and the protocol mediator, the router operable to transmit and receive management

Application/Control Number: 09/499,922

Art Unit: 2665

message over an Internet Protocol (IP) network, providing the OMC communicating with the protocol mediator through the router (see column 6, lines 32-36).

Referring to claim 16, Scholl et al. discloses a method for providing management protocol mediation between networks comprising the steps of: executing at a first network an application in an operations and maintenance center (OMC) using a first management protocol; managing by the OMC, a second network using a second management protocol; and translating between the first management protocol associated with the first network, and the second management protocol associated with the second network.

Scholl et al. differ from claim 16, in that it fails to disclose both networks being wireless networks, providing the first wireless network operable to communicate with a mobile station over a wireless interface. But Scholl does disclose "the examples of the managed networks include SNMP-based network, CMIP-based network, -----, and cellular telephony system" (see column 6, lines 25-29) and "the access mode maybe WAN, LAN, dial-up, wireless, or others (column 7, lines 12-13).

The application of managed networks being wireless networks, providing the first wireless network operable to communicate with a mobile station over a wireless interface is well known in the art. Sayers et al., for example, from the similar field of endeavor, teaches the managed networks being wireless networks (14 and 15 in Figure 1), providing the first wireless network operable to communicate with a mobile station (4 in Figure 1) over a wireless interface (not shown).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to adopt the wireless communication feature in Sayers et al. into the system of Scholl et al. to provide the

system with wireless communication capability to further improve the system speed and efficiency.

Referring to claims 17 and 18, Scholl et al. disclose the first and second management protocols comprises a SNMP and CMIP management protocols (see col. 6, lines 25-26, col. 7, lines 8-9).

Referring to claim 19, Scholl et al. discloses the step of translating between the management protocols comprises: mapping an instruction supported by the first protocol to an equivalent instruction supported by the second protocol; mapping a parameter supported by the first protocol to an equivalent parameter supported by the second protocol; and composing a message supported by the second protocol using the equivalent instruction and the equivalent parameter (see column 7, line 50 to column 8, line 5).

- 5. Claim 21 is allowed.
- 6. Claims 6-9 and 20 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Scholl et al. '001, Spencer, Krishnamurthy et al. and Menon et al. are cited to show the common feature of distributed network management system utilizing SNMP and CMIP management protocols similar to the claimed invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/499,922

Art Unit: 2665

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alpus H. Hsu whose telephone number is (571)272-3146. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (5:30-3:00) First Friday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Huy D. Vu can be reached on (571)272-3155. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

AHH

Alpus H. Hsu Primary Examiner Art Unit 2665

lpm v. 232

Page 8